difference between both values.

|  | (Sub-)species, form, subpopulation | Hindfoot length = foot length [mm]* | Length of toes [mm] | Span of the foot [mm]* | Hand length [mm]* | Length of fingers [mm] | Span of the hand [mm]* | Digital webbing, other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asian lorises |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L I | Slender lorises, genus Loris <br> To avoid confusion, the old taxonomic names (above) are listed here in addition to the new names based on Groves 2001 because taxonomic research may lead to further changes. |  |  |  |  | Second digit of the hand short, but completely developed as in the Nycticebus species ${ }^{2}$. Digits and toes permanently semiflexed at the first interphalangeal joint. Fourth digit usually the longest although it may be hardly longer than the medius. The fifth comes third, except from the thumb, the digit is shortest. Toes: index shortened with toilet claw. Hallux short, broad, heavily built ${ }^{14}$. |  | No digital webbing ${ }^{2}$. |

[^0]Lorises and pottos: species, subspecies, local populations. In: http://www.species.net
 difference between both values.

|  | (Sub-)species, form, subpopulation | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hindfoot length = } \\ & \text { foot length [mm]* } \end{aligned}$ | Length of toes [mm] | Span of the foot [mm]* | Hand length [mm]* | Length of fingers [mm] | Span of the hand [mm]* | Digital webbing, other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L II a | Old name: L. t. tardigradus <br> Groves 1998, 2001: change into distinct species <br> L. tardigradus ${ }^{64},{ }^{65}$, ${ }^{233}$ ). Including several phenotypically distinctlooking forms: see for instance ${ }^{227}$, L II b, L II c and loris identification key in this database. | Males (lowland origin): from Henaratgoda: 35 $\mathrm{mm} ; 41 \mathrm{~mm}$; from Colombo: $42 \mathrm{~mm} ; 38$ $\mathrm{mm} ; 39 \mathrm{~mm}$; from Matugama: 193 mm . Male from Kitulgala ( 900 ft ): 44 mm . <br> Females (lowland origin): from Henaratgoda: 44 mm ; possibly from Henaratgoda: 44 mm ; from Colombo: 40 mm . Average: males ( $\mathrm{n}=7$ ): 40.5 mm ; females $(\mathrm{n}=3)$ : $42 \mathrm{~mm}{ }^{14}$. <br> Mean (both sexes): 40.6 mm ; females: mean: 43 $\mathrm{mm}(\mathrm{n}=4)$; males: mean: $38.2 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{n}=9){ }^{23}$. Males ( $\mathrm{n}=7$ ): average 40.5 mm , maximum 39 mm (? Less than average!). Females ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ), average: 42 mm , maximum $44 \mathrm{~mm}^{18}$. ( $51.5 \mathrm{~mm}^{22}$ : probably not tardigradus *) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L II b | Small form with the appearance of a shorter muzzle ${ }^{15}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  | No digital webbing ${ }^{15}$. |
| L II c | Small form with longerlooking muzzle / heartshaped (L. t. grandislike) face ${ }^{15}$. | Male TA1: 48 mm (length of hairy heel 14 $\mathrm{mm})(\mathrm{n}=1)$; female TA5: $49 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{n}=1):{ }^{15}$. |  | Male TA1: 55 mm ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ); female TA5: 51 $\mathrm{mm}(\mathrm{n}=1)^{15}$. | Male TA1: 27 mm ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ); female TA5: 26 $\mathrm{mm}(\mathrm{n}=1)^{15}$. | Lengths of fingers, male TA1 ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ): thumb 11 mm ; index finger: 10 mm ; third: 13 mm ; fourth: 20 mm ; fifth: 13 mm . Length of index finger, female TA5: 8 $\mathrm{mm}(\mathrm{n}=1)^{15}$. | Male TA1: 44 mm ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ); female TA5: 40 $\mathrm{mm}(\mathrm{n}=1)^{15}$. | No digital webbing ${ }^{15}$. |
| L II d | (L. gracilis zeylanicus: synonym?) ${ }^{2},{ }^{14}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* According to Osman Hill, Wroughton's specimens described as L. t. tardigradus is from Mayor's Bombay collection and probably L. t. nordicus, a form still undescribed when Wroughton published his data ${ }^{23}$.

Lorises and pottos: species, subspecies, local populations. In: http://www.species.net
 difference between both values.

|  | (Sub-)species, form, subpopulation | Hindfoot length = foot length [mm]* | Length of toes [mm] | Span of the foot [mm]* | Hand length [mm]* | Length of fingers [mm] | Span of the hand [mm]* | Digital webbing, other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L III | Loris lydekkerianus 233. <br> Groves 1998, 2001: species including all formerly known Loris subspecies except from the former L.t. tardigradus ${ }^{64}, 65,233$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L IV | Old name: Loris tardigradus malabaricus <br> (Wroughton, 1917) ${ }^{1}$ <br> Groves 1998, 2001: L. <br> lydekkerianus <br> malabaricus ${ }^{64,}$, 65, 233. | $45 \mathrm{~mm}{ }^{22}$. Specimen from Coorg, possibly not yet quite adult: 45 mm $(\mathrm{n}=1)^{21}$. <br> Female from Kutta (Wroughton's type):45 mm ; female from Virajpat (Shortridge): 45 mm; male from Virajpat (Shortridge): 44 mm ; specimen from Wynaad, sex not recorded, "undoubtedly a male" ${ }^{14}$, (J. Rogers): 44 mm ; average ( $\mathrm{n}=4$ ): 44.5 mm 14. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L V | Old name: Loris tardigradus lydekkerianus (Cabrera, 1908) ${ }^{1}$. <br> Groves 1998, 2001: L. lydekkerianus lydekkerianus ${ }^{64,}{ }^{65,}{ }^{233}$. | $45-53, \mathrm{n}=4^{14} .54 \mathrm{~mm}{ }^{22}$. <br> At Dindigul: mean: 55.2; females 57.5; 54.0, mean: 55.7 ( $\mathrm{n}=2$ ); males 49.0; 53.0; 62.5, mean: $54.8(\mathrm{n}=3)^{101.52 \mathrm{~mm}}$ $(\mathrm{n}=1)^{21}$. <br> Female from the Bidigiriramjams ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ) 47 mm , from MalurKolar ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ): 52 mm ; female (trade origin? Bangalore): 45 mm ; male from Malur-Kolar: $53 \mathrm{~mm}^{14}$. |  |  | At Dindigul: mean: 43.9; females 43.5; 30.5, mean: 45.2 ( $\mathrm{n}=2$ ); males 39.0; 44.5; 45.5, mean: $43.0(n=3)^{101}$. <br> Female from the Bidigiriramjams ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ) 35 mm , from MalurKolar ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ): 32 mm ; female (trade origin? Bangalore): 30 mm ; male from Malur-Kolar: $29 \mathrm{~mm}{ }^{14}$. |  |  |  |

* According to Osman Hill, Wroughton's specimens described as L. t. tardigradus is from Mayor's Bombay collection and probably L. t. nordicus, a form still undescribed when Wroughton published his data ${ }^{23}$.

Lorises and pottos: species, subspecies, local populations. In: http://www.species.net
 difference between both values.

|  | (Sub-)species, form, subpopulation | Hindfoot length = foot length [mm]* | Length of toes [mm] | Span of the foot [mm]* | Hand length [mm]* | Length of fingers [mm] | Span of the hand [mm]* | Digital webbing, other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L VI | Old name: Loris tardigradus nordicus (Osman Hill, 1933) ${ }^{1}$. Groves 1998, 2001: museum specimens indistinguishable from / synonym of $\boldsymbol{L}$. lydekkerianus grandis 64, ${ }^{65}, 233$. <br> May turn out to be $\boldsymbol{L}$. lydekkerianus nordicus in the future if further studies prove distinctness. . | Foot: live adult specimens: male from Sigiriya: 42 mm ; females from Talawa: 46 mm (type), 45 mm . Preserved specimens from Mayor's collection: male from Wilachchiya (unusually large): 53.5 mm ; female from Tammanewa: 48 $\mathrm{mm}{ }^{14}$. $45-56, n=7^{36}$ $47,55, n=215 .$ <br> Male, wildcaught, from Polonnaruwa: 50 mm ; females (captive-bred): $47 \mathrm{~mm} ; 49 \mathrm{~mm}$; 52 mm ; very large specimens: left 52 / right $52 \mathrm{~mm} ; 55$ mm ${ }^{15}$. Males $(\mathrm{n}=2)$ : average 47.7 mm , maximum 53.5 mm . Females ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ), average: 46.3 mm , maximum 48 mm ${ }^{18}$. |  | Male, wildcaught, from Polonnaruwa: 59 mm ; females (captive-bred): 59 mm ; very large specimens: left > 57 (toes incompletely extended) / right 62 mm ; $62.5 \mathrm{~mm}^{15}$. | Hand: live adult specimens: male from Sigiriya: 32 mm ; females from Talawa: 25 mm (type), 31 mm . Preserved specimens from Mayor's collection: male from Wilachchiya (unusually large): 32 mm ; female from Tammanewa: 28 $\mathrm{mm}{ }^{14}$. <br> Male, wildcaught, from Polonnaruwa: 30 mm ; females (captive-bred): $29 \mathrm{~mm} ; 30 \mathrm{~mm} ; 30 \mathrm{~mm}$; very large specimens: left 32 / right $31 \mathrm{~mm} ; 35$ $\mathrm{mm}{ }^{15}$. |  | Male, wildcaught, from Polonnaruwa: ca 40 mm ; females (captive-bred): 47 mm ; very large specimens: left 43 / right $46 \mathrm{~mm} ; 49 \mathrm{~mm}{ }^{15}$. | No digital webbing ${ }^{15}$. |
| L VII | Old name: Loris tardigradus grandis (Osman Hill and Phillips, 1932) ${ }^{1}$ <br> Groves 1998, 2001: $\boldsymbol{L}$. <br> lydekkerianus grandis 64, 65, 233. | Type specimen (female): 47 mm . Mean (both sexes): 44.675 mm ; females: $38-47 \mathrm{~mm}$, mean: $43 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{n}=4)$; males: 44-47, mean: 44.5 $\mathrm{mm}(\mathrm{n}=3)^{23}$. <br> Males from <br> Gammaduwa: average ( $\mathrm{n}=4$ ): 44.5 mm , Females from Gammaduwa $(\mathrm{n}=4)$ : average 44.75 $\mathrm{mm}{ }^{14}$. <br> $38-47 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{n}=7^{36}$. <br> Males ( $\mathrm{n}=4$ ): average <br> 44.2 mm , maximum 45 <br> mm . Females $(\mathrm{n}=4)$ : <br> average 44.7 mm , <br> maximum 37.5 mm (? <br> Less than average) ${ }^{18}$. | Type specimen (female): Hallux: 21.5 mm . Second toe: 16 mm (without claw). Claw on second toe: 5.5 mm . Third toe: 14.5 mm . Fourth toe: 18.5 mm . Fifth toe: $15 \mathrm{~mm}^{23}$. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Type specimen (female): } \\ & 28 \mathrm{~mm}^{23} . \end{aligned}$ | Type specimen (female): radial styloid to tip of pollex: 18 mm . Index: 8.5 mm . Medius: 16 mm . Annularis: 18 mm . Minimus: $11 \mathrm{~mm}{ }^{23}$. |  |  |

* According to Osman Hill, Wroughton's specimens described as L. t. tardigradus is from Mayor's Bombay collection and probably L. t. nordicus, a form still undescribed when Wroughton published his data ${ }^{23}$.

Lorises and pottos: species, subspecies, local populations. In: http://www.species.net
difference between both values.

|  | (Sub-)species, form, subpopulation | Hindfoot length = foot length [mm]* | Length of toes [mm] | Span of the foot [mm]* | Hand length [mm]* | Length of fingers [mm] | Span of the hand [mm]* | Digital webbing, other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L VIII | Old name: $\boldsymbol{L}$. tardigradus nycticeboides (Osman Hill, 1942) ${ }^{1}$. <br> Groves 1998, 2001: L. <br> lydekkerianus nycticeboides ${ }^{64},{ }^{65}, 233$ | $47, n=2^{14} \text {. }$ <br> Female: 47 mm ; male: 47 mm . 1-year-old male: $46 \mathrm{~mm}^{16}$. | (Only adult data entered): Hallux: female: 20 mm ; male: 22.5 mm . Second toe: female: 6 mm ; male: 6 mm . Claw on second toe: female: 6.25 mm ; male: 6 mm . Third toe: female: 13 mm; male: 15.5 mm . Fourth toe: female: 15 mm; male: 18.5 mm . Fifth toe: female: 14 mm ; male: $17 \mathrm{~mm}^{16}$. |  | Female: 27.5 mm ; male: 33 mm . 1-year-old male: $31 \mathrm{~mm}^{16}$. | (Only adult data entered): radial styloid to tip of pollex: female: 17 mm ; male: 13 mm . Index: female: 6 mm ; male: 10 mm . Medius: female: 11.5 mm ; male: 13 mm . Annularies: female: 11 mm ; male: 14.5 mm . Minimus: female: 10 mm ; male: $11.5 \mathrm{~mm}^{16}$. |  |  |
| NX | Nycticebus E. Geoffroy $1812{ }^{233}$. Genus Nycticebus in general, lesser slow lorises included or species not mentioned |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Np | Lesser slow lorises |  |  |  |  | Second digit of the hand short, but completely developed as in Loris ${ }^{2}$ |  | No digital webbing as in Arctocebus or Perodicticus ${ }^{2}$ |
| Np I | Nycticebus pygmaeus (Bonhote, 1907) ${ }^{3},{ }^{1},{ }^{2}$, see also ${ }^{38}$. <br> ( $N$. intermedius and other possible pygmaeus-like forms included). | $33-51 \mathrm{~mm}$, mean 44 mm for animals at the EPRC, Vietnam ( $\mathrm{n}=23$ ) ${ }^{79}$. $44-55 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{n}=5)^{251}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Np I b | N. pygmaeus (Bonhote, 1907) ${ }^{4}$, distinguished from N. intermedius). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Synonym / proposed species: <br> Nycticebus <br> intermedius (Dao, 1960) <br> 4. | $45, \mathrm{n}=1{ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Np III | Proposed species: <br> Nycticebus sp. <br> New species proposed 1997, possibly corresponding to $N$. intermedius ${ }^{46}$, 47. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Np IV | (Nycticebus chinensis? New species proposed? Based on newspaper reports) ${ }^{96},{ }^{161}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* According to Osman Hill, Wroughton's specimens described as L. t. tardigradus is from Mayor's Bombay collection and probably L. t. nordicus, a form still undescribed when Wroughton published his data ${ }^{23}$.

Lorises and pottos: species, subspecies, local populations. In: http://www.species.net
 difference between both values.

|  | (Sub-)species, form, subpopulation | Hindfoot length = foot length [mm]* | Length of toes [mm] | Span of the foot [mm]* | Hand length [mm]* | Length of fingers [mm] | Span of the hand [mm]* | Digital webbing, other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | Slow lorises (lesser slow lorises not included) |  |  |  |  | Second digit of the hand short, but completely developed as in Loris ${ }^{2}$ |  | No digital webbing as in Arctocebus or Perodicticus ${ }^{2}$. |
| N I | Nycticebus <br> bengalensis ${ }^{64,}{ }^{65}$, <br> Old name: N. c. bengalensis. ${ }^{233}$. Includes N I b to N I d ${ }^{2}$, <br> ${ }^{3}$; Osman Hill distinguished tenasserimensis from this form ${ }^{1}$. | 59-60 mm, mean 59.5 mm for animals at the EPRC, Vietnam ( $\mathrm{n}=2$ ) 79. <br> Laos: $70 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{n}=1)$; <br> Nagaland: $75 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{n}=$ <br> 1); Burma: $54-78 \mathrm{~mm}$ (n =3) ${ }^{251}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N I b | Synonym (subpopulation): N. c. cinereus (A. MilneEdwards, 1867) ${ }^{1}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N I c | Synonym (subpopulation): ${ }_{1}^{\text {N. incanus (Thomas 1921) }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N I d | Synonym (subpopulation): N. c. tenasserimensis (variable population with coucang-like features in some specimens, possibly including bengalensiscoucang transition forms (Elliott, 1912) 265. | Koh Lok, peninsular Thailand, $12 \mathrm{~N}: 69 \mathrm{~mm}$ $(\mathrm{n}=1)^{251}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N II | Nycticebus coucang <br> (Boddaert, 1784) N. bengalensis no longer included ${ }^{2},{ }^{64},{ }^{233}$. . | 60-68 mm, mean 62.8 mm for confiscated animals at the Schmutzer Primate Center, Java (n =4) ${ }^{79}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N III | N. c. coucang (Boddaert, $1785)^{2}$ (includes Nc III b-e; compare with Nc III b). | Male ( $n=1$ ) from <br> Sumatra?: $58 \mathrm{~mm}{ }^{245}$. <br> Sumatra: 61-64 mm $(\mathrm{n}=3)^{251}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* According to Osman Hill, Wroughton's specimens described as L. t. tardigradus is from Mayor's Bombay collection and probably L. t. nordicus, a form still undescribed when Wroughton published his data ${ }^{23}$.

Lorises and pottos: species, subspecies, local populations. In: http://www.species.net
difference between both values.

|  | (Sub-)species, form, subpopulation | Hindfoot length = foot length [mm]* | Length of toes [mm] | Span of the foot $[\mathrm{mm}]^{*}$ | Hand length [mm]* | Length of fingers [mm] | Span of the hand [mm]* | Digital webbing, other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N III b | Synonym (subpopulation): <br> N. c. coucang (Boddaert, 1785) ${ }^{1}$. | Animals from Kepong, Selangor, Malaysia: average ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ): $67+/-4$ mm ; females ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ): 66 $+/-3 \mathrm{~mm}$; males ( $\mathrm{n}=2$ ): $68+/-6 \mathrm{~mm}^{118}$. Malaya: 51-65 mm ( $\mathrm{n}=$ 11); Singapore: 60, 61 mm ( $\mathrm{n}=2$ ); Pulau Tebingtinggi: $63(\mathrm{n}=1)$; P. Batam, Riau archipelago: $58 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{n}=$ 1); Riau: $65 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{n}=1)$ 251 |  | Animals from Kepong, Selangor, Malaysia: average ( $\mathrm{n}=6$ ): $80+/-4$ mm ; females ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ): 79 $+/-3 \mathrm{~mm}$; males ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ): $81+/-6 \mathrm{~mm}^{118}$. | Animals from Kepong, Selangor, Malaysia: average: $49+/-6 \mathrm{~mm}$ $\left(\mathrm{n}=3\right.$ females) ${ }^{118}$. |  | Animals from Kepong, Selangor, Malaysia: average ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ): $65+/-5$ mm ; females $(\mathrm{n}=3)$ : 64 $+/-4 \mathrm{~mm}$; males $(\mathrm{n}=2)$ : $65+/-5 \mathrm{~mm}^{118}$. |  |
| N III c | Synonym (subpopulation): N. c. hilleri (Stone et Rehn, 1902) ${ }^{1}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N III d | Synonym (subpopulation): N. c. insularis (Robinson, 1917) ${ }^{1}$. | Pulau Tioman: 62 mm (n $=1)^{251}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N III e | Synonym (subpopulation): N. c. natunae (Stone et Rehn, 1902) ${ }^{1}$. | $\text { N. Natunas: } 62,64 \mathrm{~mm}$ $(\mathrm{n}=2)^{251} .$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N IV | N. c. menagensis (Lydekker, 1893) ${ }^{2}$; $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N IV b | Synonym (subpopulation): <br> N. c. borneanus <br> (Nachtrieb, 1892; <br> Lyon, 1908) ${ }^{1}$. | Male ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ) from Samarinda, East Borneo: $48 \mathrm{~mm}^{245}$. <br> Borneo: 58-67 mm ( $\mathrm{n}=$ 9) 251 . |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N IV c | Synonym (subpopulation): <br> N. c. menagensis <br> (Lydekker, 1893) ${ }^{6}$ (only <br> from Tawitawi <br> Archipelago; compare with N IV). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N IV d | Synonym (subpopulation): N. c. bancanus (Lyon, 1906) ${ }^{1}$. | Bangka: $60-62 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{n}=$ 4) ${ }^{251}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* According to Osman Hill, Wroughton's specimens described as L. t. tardigradus is from Mayor's Bombay collection and probably L. t. nordicus, a form still undescribed when Wroughton published his data ${ }^{23}$.

Lorises and pottos: species, subspecies, local populations. In: http://www.species.net
 difference between both values.

|  | (Sub-)species, form, subpopulation | Hindfoot length = foot length [mm]* | Length of toes [mm] | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Span of the foot } \\ {[\mathrm{mm}]^{*}} \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Hand length } \\ {[\mathrm{mm}]^{*}} \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Length of fingers [mm] | Span of the hand [mm]* | Digital webbing, other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N V | Nycticebus coucang javanicus (E. Geoffroy, 1812) ${ }^{1,2,2,4,4,233 .}$ <br> May turno out to be a distinct species, Nycticebus javanicus, in the future ${ }^{64}$, ${ }^{65} 233$. | Males ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ): 55; 58; 71 mm ; females ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ): 54; 63; 65 mm ; unsexed: 66 mm . Male, small, probably infant ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ): $41 \mathrm{~mm}{ }^{245}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |



* According to Osman Hill, Wroughton's specimens described as L. t. tardigradus is from Mayor's Bombay collection and probably L. t. nordicus, a form still undescribed when Wroughton published his data ${ }^{23}$.

Lorises and pottos: species, subspecies, local populations. In: http://www.species.net
 difference between both values.

|  | (Sub-)species, form, subpopulation | Hindfoot length = foot length [mm]* | Length of toes [mm] | Span of the foot [mm]* | Hand length [mm]* | Length of fingers [mm] | Span of the hand [mm]* | Digital webbing, other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P III | P. p. edwardsi (Bouvier, 1879) ${ }^{2}$ <br> (includes P III b - P III c). <br> Possibly including other species. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P III b | Synonym (subpopulation): P. p. edwardsi (Bouvier, 1879) ${ }^{1}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P III c | Synonym (subpopulation): P. p. faustus (Thomas, 1910) ${ }^{1}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P IV | P. p. ibeanus (Thomas, 1910) ${ }^{2}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ps | Pseudopotto martini: <br> new genus proposed in 1996 <br> ${ }^{34}$. Current data insufficient 68. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* According to Osman Hill, Wroughton's specimens described as L. t. tardigradus is from Mayor's Bombay collection and probably L. t. nordicus, a form still undescribed when Wroughton published his data ${ }^{23}$.

Lorises and pottos: species, subspecies, local populations. In: http://www.species.net


[^0]:    * According to Osman Hill, Wroughton's specimens described as L. t. tardigradus is from Mayor's Bombay collection and probably L. t. nordicus, a form still undescribed when Wroughton published his data ${ }^{23}$.

